The article is dedicated to defining of minimal scope of measurement necessary to perform objective maintainability analysis. Basic statistical characteristics of initial statistical analysis have been calculated for maintainability metric, such as: mathematical expectation, median, kurtosis and skewness; distribution law has been determined. Technique and tool of defining minimal scope of metrics measurements have been developed for maintainability analysis. Investigation results of several open source projects have been presented.

Стаття присвячена визначенню мінімального обсягу вимірювань, необхідного для проведення об'єктивного аналізу супроводження. Розраховувалися основні статистичні характеристики первинного статистичного аналізу для метрики супроводження: математичне сподівання, медиана, коефіцієнти ексцесу та асиметрії; визначено закон розподілу метрики. Була розроблена методика та засіб визначення мінімального обсягу вимірювань метрики для аналізу супроводження. Представлені результати ісследований деяких відкритих проектів.
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**Introduction**

Software metric is a quantitative measure of the degree to which a system, component, or process possesses a given attribute [1]. Importance of metrics can’t be overestimated. Different aspects of software are measured using appropriate set of metrics [2].

One of the aspects is software maintainability. IEEE Standard Glossary of Software Engineering Terminology defines maintainability as “the ease with which a software system or component can be modified to correct faults, improve performance or other attributes, or adapt to a changed environment.” [1]. It is a very critical property of many developed systems. Maintainability is measured as a dependence of other metrics [3]. It can be calculated after changes are made to software system. Then conclusions are made, if these changes improved maintainability or not [4]. Relevant question appears, whether such conclusions can be considered objective and truly reflect the result of changes. May be there is not enough scope of measurements.

The basis on which such scope can be defined is formulated in the law of large numbers. It states that the average of the results obtained from a large number of trials should be close to the expected value, and will tend to become closer as more trials are performed [5].

**Last researches overview**

Maintainability as one the most important software attributes is constantly studied. Software engineers try to design better models to asses it, conduct experiments to reveal some trends of its values [3, 4, 6-8].

Done Coleman and Dan Ash from “Hewlett-Packard”, Bruce Lowther from “Micron Semiconductor” and Paul Oman from University of Idaho conducted valuable research in the area
of maintainability analysis. Their intent was to demonstrate how automated software maintainability analysis can be used to guide software-related decision making. [4]. Rikard Land from Malardalen University investigated how maintainability of a piece of software changes as time passes and it is being maintained by performing measurements on industrial systems. [3]. Pfleeger describes maintainability as the probability that a maintenance activity can be carried out within a stated time interval, it ranges from 0 to 1. [9]. Y. Kataoka, T. Imai, H. Andou T. Fukaya discussed program refactoring as a technique to enhance the maintainability of a program. They proposed a quantitative evaluation method to measure the maintainability enhancement effect of program refactoring. [6]

The described above research proposed different models for maintainability assessment, thresholds to make conclusions about obtained results, investigated how maintainability changes in time and how it varies depending on different changes make in software. All this researches deal with maintainability explanation and interpretation, and don’t pay attention to analyzed data scope. It is important to know how many input data software researchers must use for making conclusion on maintainability analysis.

**Paper objectives**

Main objectives of paper are:
- analysis existing problems in maintainability estimation, especially minimal scope of measurements definition;
- analysis of statistical methods for minimal data scope defining;
- development of a software tool that solves the minimal measurements scope problem;
- case studies of software tool.

**Minimal data scope defining technique**

To solve the problem of minimal scope determining special technique was designed. It implies the processing of different projects and definition of minimal scope for each concrete one. The average value obtained from the experiments results can be assumed as minimal scope of measurements for projects that a like those under research.

The general algorithm consists of several steps (Fig. 1).

---

**Fig.1 Algorithm scheme**
First, it is necessary to choose a project that is going to be investigated. MI values for each class of chosen project will be input data for the algorithm. These values represent the distribution. The next step is to define whether the distribution is normal or not. Depending on the result statistical characteristic is defined for further research [5]. In case of normal distribution ME (Mathematical expectation) is chosen, otherwise – mode. Subsets of values are formed as shown on Fig.2.

![Fig.2 Division into subsets](image)

For each subset of values, the statistical characteristic is calculated. As a result for each subset of values (each value corresponds to definite class) ME or mode is obtained. The subset starting from which this characteristic remains relatively stable can be considered as minimal scope for maintainability analysis.

The input data for the designed algorithm is array of MI values for concrete project on the class level. Important requirement to project is that it must be rather big (more than several thousands of classes). Otherwise the calculations based on the LLN won’t have any sense. All the values in input array have constraints on possible values as maintainability index can have values in range from 0 to 100.

**Distribution law determining**

As it was mentioned above one of the algorithm steps is to define is the distribution normal or not.

The normal distribution is the most widely known and used of all distributions [5, 10]. Fig.3 shows the example of values distributed normally.

![Fig.3 Normal distribution](image)

To define whether the distribution is normal or not a histogram of the sample was built. Except visual estimation mathematical calculations must be applied to make conclusion about the distribution law. The basic criteria by which distribution law can be obtained are kurtosis and skewness coefficients [10]. In current investigation the distribution is considered normal if both coefficients are less than 0.3.

In the subject domain of maintainability almost all the projects will not have normal distribution of MI values. One of the reasons is that possible values have described earlier definite range and most of values lie from approximately 80 to 100. Conducted investigations on variety of projects with several thousands of classes confirmed this assumption.

**Statistical characteristics**

The next step after distribution law defined is to make decision about statistical characteristic that is going to be used for further calculations. Two of such characteristics that can represent the average value of distribution: ME and mode [5, 10]. But for the same set of numbers all these parameters can have absolutely different values. To design the algorithm for minimal measurements scope definition it was important to decide what characteristics to choose depending on the character of distribution. Below the description of ME and mode, their comparison can be found. Except these two ones other statistical values exists, for example median. For this algorithm it was decided not to consider it because as shown by investigation for such distribution as MI values it doesn’t give objective results. If the distribution law is normal it is better to use ME, otherwise – mode.

**Software tool development**

To implement the algorithm before special software tool was developed. Then aim of the tool is to assist in research of minimal measurement scope analysis. The logic of the application implements all necessary statistical calculations on array of input metric values. This tool is not bounded to estimation of MI.

The following use case diagram on the Fig. 4 reflects the basic functionality of system, describes what opportunities user has while using a system. Class diagrams are the mainstay of object-oriented analysis and design. UML 2 class diagrams show the classes of the system, their interrelationships and the operations and attributes of the classes (Fig.5).
Fig. 4 Use case diagram

Fig. 5 Class diagram
Software tool is implemented using Java programming language in NetBeans 7.0 development environment. Several libraries were used for development. GUI was created via Javax.Swing. JFreeChart library was used to build result chart and distribution histogram.

Case studies
The developed software tool can take as input different projects of large size and shows the project scope which is enough for maintainability analysis. Making conclusion about obtained minimal scope values can help to define the average one that can be further used by developers.

Numbers of projects were studied to find the average values of minimal measurements scope for maintainability analysis. The main criterion of choosing the projects for investigations was large number of classes. Otherwise there is no sense to investigate the project because the LLN won’t be applicable to it. It was chosen several projects: AgroUML 3.0.4, Apache Tomcat 7.0.25, JBoss (partly), NetBeans 7.0 (partly). Last two projects were investigated partly because of problems in measurement.

The first step is to obtain MI values on class level for project. To do this Semantic Designs JavaMetrics tool was used. Then it is necessary to load measurement results in developed tool, and do investigations. As it can be seen from Fig.6 starting from 2500 classes the value of mode remains relatively stable for AgroUML 3.0.4.

![Fig.6 Investigation of AgroUML 3.0.4](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>№</th>
<th>Project name</th>
<th>Class num.</th>
<th>Min. scope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AgroUML 3.0.4</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Apache Tomcat 7.0.25</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>JBoss (partly)</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NetBeans 7.0 (partly)</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions
The developed software tool assists in conducting research of minimal measurements scope in maintainability analysis. The main idea of the tool is LLN. Using this law and statistical formulas it computes the dependency of project scope and average MI value. A researcher can visually define the point from which the average value becomes stable. This value is minimal measurements scope.

After conducting this research the conclusion can be made that about 1000-1500 classes must be present is a project to objectively estimate its maintainability. Only having such measurements scope, the changes in projects can be reflected truly after MI comparison. Result of conducted investigations can be applied by developers when they want to analyze maintainability. The software tool also can help to understand whether the project has enough classes to perform relevant analysis. This tool is not bounded to estimation of MI. It can be further extended to work with other metrics.
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